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## Patient's history

- Male, born 1967
- HIV+ 11/2009, sexual transmission
- Antiretroviral history (from a centre in Argentina):
Period Regimen Reason for discontinuation

- Patient transferred to Spain due to laboral reasons in 2017


## Patient's characteristics

Smoker 10 cigarettes per day
No illicit drugs
Blood pressure 140/80 mmHg
No hypertension, no diabetes
BMI 25 kg/m ${ }^{2}$
Total cholesterol $240 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$
HDL cholesterol $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$
LDL cholesterol $180 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$
MDRD GFR $80 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / 1.73 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
No proteinuria

- The patient feels great.
- He accepts and tolerates his antiretroviral regimen.
- He is not taking any chronic medication other than antiretroviral therapy.
- Smoker since a teenager, but has substantially reduced numer of cigarettes per day.


## Gin EACS <br> European AIDS <br> Clinical <br> Society <br> GUIDELINES <br> Version 8.1 October 2016

English

## Cardiovascular screening

Smoker 10 cigarettes per day
No illicit drugs
Blood pressure $140 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$
No hypertension, no diabetes
BMI $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
Total cholesterol $240 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$
HDL cholesterol $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$
LDL cholesterol $180 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$
MDRD GFR $80 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / 1.73 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
No proteinuria

| FRAMINGHAM score | Patient <br> case |
| :--- | :---: |
| Age, <br> years | 50 |
| Gender, <br> male/female | Male |
| Smoker, <br> yes/no | Yes |
| Systolic blood <br> pressure, mmHg | 140 |
| Total cholesterol, <br> mg/dL(mmol/L) | 240 |
| HDL cholesterol, |  |
| mg/dL (mmol/L) | 40 |
| CHD Risk Score <br> at 10 years (\%) | $\mathbf{( 1 . 0 )}$ |

## Risk of myocardial infartion in HIV-infected patients can be estimated with the Framingham score



## Framingham score has a low sensitivity, but a high negative predictive value

If a patient has a low risk, the likelihood of not having a MI is high


Framingham risk score

## Men have worse CV risk than women

| Age: | 50 |  | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender: | ale |  | male |
| Total Cholesterol: | $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ |  | $240 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ |
| HDL Cholesterol: | $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ |  | $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ |
| Smoker: | Yes |  | Yes |
| Systolic Blood Pressure: | $120 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{Hg}$ | re: | $120 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{Hg}$ |
| On medication for HBP: | No | P: | No |
| Risk Score* | 12\% |  | 17\% |

Man, $\geq 50 y$, smoker $=$ risk $>10 \%$

| Age: | 64 | 64 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gender: | male |  | male |
| Total Cholesterol: | $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ | $240 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ |  |
| HDL Cholesterol: | $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ |  | $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ |
| Smoker: | No | No |  |
| Systolic Blood Pressure: | $120 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{Hg}$ | ure: | $120 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{Hg}$ |
| On medication for HBP: | No | BP: | No |
| Risk Score* | $12 \%$ |  | $14 \%$ |

If non-smoking you need to be almost $15 y$ older to have the same CV risk

| Age: | 50 | 99 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gender: | female | female |  |
| Total Cholesterol: | $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ | $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ |  |
| HDL Cholesterol: | $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ | $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ |  |
| Smoker: | Yes | Yes |  |
| Systolic Blood Pressure: | $120 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{Hg}$ | re: | $120 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{Hg}$ |
| On medication for HBP: | No | P: | No |
| Risk Score* | $3 \%$ |  | $8 \%$ |

Woman, any age, even smoker = risk <10\%

However, Framingham does not include HIV-specific factors

- Immune status
- Increased inflammatory markers
- Insulin resistance
- Time on HAART

[^0]
## CV score developed from D:A:D study

DAD 5 Year Estimated Risk calculator
E

The risk during the next
5 years
of CHD is:


## Score estimates risk of CV death (used in Europe)



\section*{| 0 |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| $\overline{0}$ |
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Total cholesterol (mmol/L)

## ASCVD estimator bases on 2013 ACC/AHA guidelines (used un US)




Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)


Race
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Smoker
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## 2013 ACC/AHA score may estimate CV events better than Framingham score

Partners HealthCare System HIV Iongitudinal cohort ( $\mathrm{n}=2270$ ), comprised of patients seen at Brigham \& Women’s Hospital or Massachusetts General Hospital in Boston, MA


## EACS prior to 2015

Prevention of CVD

Principles: The intensity of efforts to prevent CVD depends on the underlying risk of CVD, which can be estimated (i). The preventive efforts are diverse in nature and require involvement of a relevant specialist, in particular if the risk of CVD is high and always in patients with a history of CVD.


## Risk of subclinical CV disease is higher than predicted

| Nr of patients | 108 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Age, years (IQR) | $46(40-52)$ |
| Current smoking (\%) | 50 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL) | 175 |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dL) | 98 |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dL) | 49 |
| 10-year Framingham score, \% (IQR) | $3(1-5)$ |
| 10-year ASCVD score, \% (IQR) | $3.3(1.6-6.6)$ |
| CD4 cells/mm 3 | 528 |
| Viral load (copies/mL) | $<50$ |
| Patients with any coronary plaque (\%) | 45 |
| Patients with high-risk plaques (\%) | 36 |
| Statins recommeded 2004 ATP III (\%) | 8 |
| Statins recommended 2013 ACC/AHA (\%) | 21 |

## EACS from 2015 on

## Prevention of CVD

Principles: The intensity of efforts to prevent CVD depends on the underlying risk of CVD, which can be estimated ${ }^{(i)}$. The preventive efforts are diverse in nature and require involvement of a relevant specialist, in particular if the risk of CVD is high and always in persons with a history of CVD.

http://www.eacsociety.org/files/2015_eacsguidelines_8_0-english_rev-20160124.pdf

## Intervention strategies as a function of total CV risk and LDL-C level

| Total CV risk (SCORE) \% | LDL-C levels |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} <70 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL} \\ <1.8 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} 70 \text { to } & <100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL} \\ 1.8 \text { to } & <2.5 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L} \end{aligned}$ | 100 to < $155 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ <br> 2.5 to $<4.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 155 \text { to }<190 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL} \\ & 4.0 \text { to }<4.9 \mathrm{mmol} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >190 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL} \\ & >4.9 \mathrm{mmolh} \end{aligned}$ |
| <1 | No lipid intervention | No lipid intervention | Lifestyle intervention | Life style intervention | Lifestyle intervention, consider drug if uncontrolled |
| Class/Level | I/C | I/C | I/C | I/C | Ila/A |
| $\geq 1$ to $<5$ | Life style intervention | Lifestyle intervention | Lifestyle intervention, consider drug if uncontrolled | Life style intervention, consider drug if uncontrolled | Lifestyle intervention, consider drug if uncontrolled |
| Class/Level | I/C | I/C | $\mathrm{lla} / \mathrm{A}$ | Ila/A | I/A |
| $>5$ to $<10$, or high risk | Life style intervention consider drug* | Lifestyle intervention consider drug* | Lifestyle intervention and imme diate drug intervention | Life style intervention and immediate drug intervention | Life style intervention and immediate drug intervention |
| Class/Level | Ila/A | $11 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{A}$ | Ila/A | I/A | I/A |
| $\geq 10$ or very high risk | Life style intervention consider drug* | Lifestyle intervention and immediate drug intervention | Lifestyle intervention and imme diate drug intervention | Lifestyle intervention and immediate drug intervention | Lifestyle intervention and immediate drug intervention |
| Class/Level | Ila/A | IIa/A | I/A | I/A | I/A |

## ASCVD estimator (based on 2013 ACC/AHA guidelines)



Female



## Age 50



Treatment for Hypertension


Adults 40 to 75 years of age with LDL-C 70 to $189 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ with no diabetes and estimated 10year ASCVD risk $\geq 7.5 \%$ should be treated with moderate to high-intensity statin therapy

Race

- White
( African American

Systolic Blood Pressure
140

Smoker
Yes No

## Consider potential for drug-drug interactions between ARVs and other drugs

| High | Moderate | Low/No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATV/rit | NVP | NRTIs (all) |
| DRV/rit | EFV | RPV |
| ATV/cobi | ETV | MVC |
| DRV/cobi |  | RAL |
| EVG/cobi |  | DTG |

## Risk of interactions between statins and $\mathrm{Pl} / \mathrm{r}$ or NNRTI

## Drugs used to lower LDL-c

| DRUG CLASS | DRUG | DOSE | SIDE EFFECTS | ADVISE ON USE OF STATIN TOGETHER WITH ART |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | use with Pl/r | use with NNRTI |
| Statin ${ }^{(i)}$ | Atorvastatin ${ }^{\text {(ii) }}$ | $10-80 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{qd}$ | Gastrointestinal symptoms, headache, insomnia, rhabdomyolysis (rare) and toxic hepatitis | Start with low dose ${ }^{(v)}$ (max: 40 mg ) | Consider higher dose ${ }^{\text {(vi) }}$ |
|  | Fluvastatin ${ }^{\text {(iii) }}$ | 20-80 mg qd |  | Consider higher dose ${ }^{\text {(vi) }}$ | Consider higher dose ${ }^{\text {(vi) }}$ |
|  | Pravastatin ${ }^{\text {(iii) }}$ | 20-80 mg qd |  | Consider higher dose ${ }^{\text {(vi, vii) }}$ | Consider higher dose ${ }^{\text {(vi) }}$ |
|  | Rosuvastatin ${ }^{\text {(ii) }}$ | $5-40 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{qd}$ |  | Start with low dose ${ }^{(v)}$ (max: 20 mg ) | Start with low dose ${ }^{(v)}$ |
|  | Simvastatin ${ }^{(i)}$ | $10-40 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{qd}$ |  | Contraindicated | Consider higher dose ${ }^{\text {(vi) }}$ |
| Cholesterol uptake $\downarrow{ }^{\text {(i) }}$ | Ezetimibe ${ }^{\text {(iv) }}$ | 10 mg qd | Gastrointestinal symptoms | No known drug-drug in | ractions with ART |

http:// www.europeanaidsclinicalsociety.org/images/stories/EACS-Pdf/EACSGuidelines-v6.1-English-Nov2012.pdf

## ABC and MI risk persists in D:A:D despite change in ABC use

- Analysis of MI risk with ABC pre and post 3/08 in D:A:D cohort
- There were trends to less ABC use in high risk individuals post 3/08
- RR with ABC 1.98 (1.72-2.29), Pre 3/08 1.97, Post 3/08 1.97



## Recent ABC and MI risk: Controversy in NAACCORD

Many significant differences in clinically relevant characteristics between ABC and non-ABC users

- Adjusted Hazard Ratios of CVD Risk Factors Significantly Associated With MI



## Current ARV drugs and MI risk: New data from US Veterans



* Point estimates are interpreted as increase/decrease in odds of cardiovascular events given current exposure to therapy relative to not currently exposed to therapy.
$\dagger$ Statistically significant according to the Bonferroni adjusted p-value.


## Approximately one third of the PI-related excess risk for MI in $\mathrm{D}: \mathrm{A}: \mathrm{D}$ is due to $\mathrm{DM}, \mathrm{HT}$, or lipids

|  | Adjusted Model 1 |  | Adjusted Model 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Relative Rate (95\% CI) | P Value | Relative Rate <br> (95\% CI) | P Value |
| Exposure to Pls (per year) | 1.16 (1.10-1.23) | <0.001 | 1.10 (1.04-1.18) | 0.002 |
| Age (per 5 yr ) | 1.39 (1.31-1.46) | <0.001 | 1.32 (1.23-1.41) | <0.001 |
| Male sex | 1.91 (1.28-2.86) | 0.002 | 2.13 (1.29-3.52) | 0.003 |
| BMI $>30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 1.70 (1.08-2.69) | 0.02 | 1.34 (0.77-2.34) | 0.31 |
| Family history of CHD | 1.56 (1.10-2.23) | 0.01 | 1.40 (0.96-2.05) | 0.08 |
| Smoking status |  |  |  |  |
| Current | 2.83 (2.04-3.93) | <0.001 | 2.92 (2.04-4.18) | <0.001 |
| Former | 1.65 (1.12-2.42) | 0.01 | 1.63 (1.07-2.48) | 0.02 |
| Previous cardiovascular event | 4.30 (3.06-6.03) | <0.001 | 4.64 (3.22-6.69) | $<0.001$ |
| Diabetes mellitus | - | - | 1.86 (1.31-2.65) | <0.001 |
| Hypertension | - | - | 1.30 (0.99-1.72) | 0.06 |
| Total cholesterol (per mmol/L increase) | - | - | 1.26 (1.19-1.35) | $<0.001$ |
| HDL cholesterol (per mmol/L increase) | - | - | 0.72 (0.52-0.99) | 0.05 |

## The distinctive lipid effect of $\mathrm{Pl} / \mathrm{r}$ is an increase in triglycerides, but not cholesterol

Studies 102 and 103


## Lipid changes in ACTG 5257

## ACTG 5257



## Less inflamation and hypercoagulability with ATV/r

## ACTG 5257

Hs-CRP Declined with ATV/r and RAL
D-dimer Declined with ATV/r and DRV/r


## Slower progression of clMT with ATV/r vs. DRV/r ACTG 5260s

- ATV/r progressed more
 slowly than DRV/r
(ATV/r (8.2 $\mu \mathrm{m} /$ year 95\% CI [5.6-10.8]) vs DRV/r (12.9 $\mu \mathrm{m} /$ year [10.3-15.5]); $p=0.013)$
- Intermediate progression for RAL (10.7 $\mu \mathrm{m} /$ year [9.2-12.2] ( $p=0.15 \mathrm{vs}$ ATV/r; $p=0.31$ vs DRV/r)
- *CIMT is used as a measure of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease
- CIMT: Carotid intima-media thickness

Stein JH, et al. ACC 2014; Abstract 147. Available at: http://content.onlinejacc.org/article.aspx?articleid=1855995

## Eventos cardiovasculares con ATV en pacientes VIH

- Inicio de TAR en la cohorte de Veteranos (2003-2015) ( $\mathrm{n}=10.385$ ).
- Edad media 50 años; 93\% hombres; 56\% raza negra y 30\% caucásicos.



## Association between CVD and cummulative ATV/r or DRV/r use



Multivariate models were adjusted for gender, age, race, HIV risk of aquisition, enrollement cohort, baseline date, prior CVD, CD4 nadir, CD4, BMI, diabetes, dyslipidamia, eGFR (all fixed at baseline),
cumulative exposure to DRV/r, ATV/r, LPV/r and IDV, recent exposure ABC, prior AIDS, viral load, hepatitis B \& C, family historv of CVD, hypertension, smoking (all time updated)

## Switch to RAL in SPIRAL led to $\downarrow$ total-to-HDL cholesterol ratio

Percentage changes in fasting lipid concentrations from baseline to week 48


## Switching from $\mathrm{Pl} / \mathrm{r}$ to RAL decreased CV biomarkers

SPIRAL Biomarkers Sub-study
Median difference of percent change RAL minus $\mathrm{PI} / \mathrm{r}(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$


- Generally modest or no significant correlation between changes in biomarkers and changes in lipids


## Switch to RPV/TDF/FTC in SPIRIT led to $\downarrow$ total-to-HDL cholesterol ratio

## SPIRIT

Changes from Baseline in Fasting Lipids


Switching to RPV/FTC/TDF resulted in improvement in fasting lipids, including TC, LDL, TGs, and TC:HDL ratio at Week 24 and maintained through Week 48

TC - total cholesterol, LDL - low-density lipoprotein, TG - triglycerides, HDL - high-density lipoprotein

## Switch to EVG/cobi/TDF/FTC in STRATEGI-PI did not lead to lower lipids

Change From Baseline in Fasting Lipids at Week 48
STRATEGY-PI: Darunavir Subgroup

|  | Total-c | LDL-c | Triglycerides | HDL-c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline: median, Q1, Q3 (mg/dL) |  |  |  |  |
| E/C/F/TDF ( $\mathrm{n}=107$ ) | $182(160,206)$ | $115(96,139)$ | $111(89,157)$ | $48(42,56)$ |
| Darunavir (n=58) | 193 (169, 219) | $128(105,152)$ | $112(79,180)$ | $51(43,57)$ |
| Change at Week 48: median, Q1, Q3 (mg/dL) |  |  |  |  |
| E/C/F/TDF ( $\mathrm{n}=105$ ) | $0(-13,16)$ | $0(-13,15)$ | -11 (-35, 16) | $3(-2,9)$ |
| Darunavir (n=53) | $0(-20,13)$ | $0(-14,13)$ | -5 (-31, 26) | $0(-5,5)$ |
| $\mathbf{P}$ value ${ }^{+}$ | 0.43 | 0.56 | 0.32 | 0.03 |

[^1]
## Switch to DTG in NEATO22 led to $\downarrow$ total-to-HDL cholesterol ratio



No changes in the utilization of lipid lowering agents ( $30 \%$ in each arm, both at baseline and week 48.
Gatell JM et al. IAS 2017 Paris

## Switching from ABC to TDF decreased plasma lipids



Triglycerides


## How well do statins work to lower LDL-cholesterol in HIV+ patients?

## Actually Quite Good!

- HIV-infected
- HIV-uninfected



## Approximate Dose Equivalency of Statin LDL-C Efficacy

Dose of Agent (mg/day)

| Rosuva <br> $*$ | Atorva* | Simva | Pitava | Lova | Prava | Fluva | Approx <br> $\downarrow$ LDL-C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 10 | 1 | 20 | $40^{\dagger}$ | 40 | $28-34 \%$ |
| 5 | $10^{\dagger}$ | $20^{\dagger}$ | $2^{\dagger}$ | $40^{\dagger}$ | 80 | ${80^{\dagger}}^{35-42 \%}$ |  |
| $10^{\dagger}$ | 20 | 40 | 4 | 80 |  |  | $39-47 \%$ |
| 20 | 40 | $(80)$ |  |  |  |  | $46-52 \%$ |
| 40 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  | $51-55 \%$ |

*Atorvastatin and rosuvastatin may be more effective ( $1 / 2$ and 1 doubling, respectively). ${ }^{\dagger}$ Most commonly used dose in United States.

Adapted from: Roberts WC. Am J Cardiol. 1997;80:106-107.
LIPID \&
Stein E, et al. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Therapeut. 1997;2:7-
METABOLIC 16. Rosuvastatin PI, Pitavastatin PI.

## Larger decrease in cholesterol fractions with statin as compared with $\mathrm{Pl} / \mathrm{r}$ switch



## And, statin is better tolerated than $\mathrm{PI} / \mathrm{r}$ switch !

|  | Rosuvastatin | rPI switch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| One or more events, $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $14(61)$ | $14(70)$ |
| Study drug-related events, $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $1(4)$ | $10(50)$ |
| nausea | $1(4)$ | $4(20)$ |
| diarrhoea | $0(0)$ | $4(20)$ |
| fatigue | $0(0)$ | $2(10)$ |
| myalgia/myopathy | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ |
| rash | $0(0)$ | $1(5)$ |
| other | $1(4)$ | $6(30)$ |
| SAEs - all, $\mathbf{n} \%$ | $1(4)$ | $1(5)$ |
| SAEs - study drug-related, $\mathbf{n}(\%)$ | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ |
| Discontinuation due to adverse event, $\mathbf{n}(\%)$ | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ |

## 1- year change in non-calcified plaque volume in HIV-patients randomized to atorvastatin vs placebo



## Statins also decrease inflammation and immune activation in HIV+ patients on cART



## Randomized trial to prevent CV events in HIV: REPRIEVE (ACTG 5332)

Principal Investigators:
Steven Grinspoon, MD Pamela S Douglas, MD Udo Hoffmann, MD,
MPH
Heather Ribaudo, PhD


## Decisions made

Smoker 10 cigarettes per day
No illicit drugs
Blood pressure 140/80 mmHg
No hypertension, no diabetes
BMI 25 kg/m ${ }^{2}$
Total cholesterol $240 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$
HDL cholesterol $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$
LDL cholesterol $180 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$
MDRD GFR $80 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / 1.73 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
No proteinuria

- Smoking cessation considered.
- Healthy lifestyle (food, exercise).
- Boosted darunavir discontonued.
- ABC/3TC discontinued.
- Unboosted integrase inhibior (RAL or

DTG) considered.

- Tenofovit considered.
- Atorvastatin 20 mg initiated.


[^0]:    http://cvdrisk.nhlbi.nih.gov/

[^1]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Comparison between treatment group using the Wilcoxon Rank Sum test.
    Changes from baseline in total cholesterol/HDL ratios were not statistically significant.

